5.1.1 Reference assignment and comparison

Description

Der er nok for mange gentagelser her i forhold til forrige afsnit? Skal det være en selvstændig side eller integreres med siden foran, eller på niveau 2 som 5.2? Value assignment er 5.5 – altså niveau 2.

In the previous section, we have seen examples of variables that holds references to objects. These include owner, aCustomer, and JohnSmithProfile, which all may refer to Customer objects. JohnSmithProfile is constant in the sense that it always refer to the Customer object representing John Smiths profile. Owner and aCustomer are variable references and may refer to different Customer objects during a program execution and they may hold a none reference, which means that they do not refer to any object.

We have also seen examples of assignment of references that has the effect that two or more references may refer to the same object. In the figure +++ in the previous section, aCustomer and the owner attribute of two Account objects all refer to the same Customer object.

Here will summarise assignment of references in general and also describe comparison of references. We use class Customer for the example.

```
JohnSmithProfile: obj Customer("John Smith")
LizaJonesProfile: obj Customer("Liza Jones")
customerA, customerB: ref Customer
customerA := JohnSmithProfile
customerB := LizaJonesProfile
```

Figure 3.4.1 Customer references

In the above example, we have two Customer objects JohnSmithProfile and LizaJonesProfile, and reference variables customerA and customerB.

We have assigned JohnSmithProfile to customerA. This is actually a reference to the Customer object representing John Smiths profile. We then have that JohnSmithProfile as well as customerA both refers to the same Customer object.

This is similar for LizaJonesProfile — after the assignment customerB := LizaJonesProfile both LizaJonesProile and customerB refers to the Customer object representing the profile of Liza Jones.

As mentioned this is illustrated by the figure in the previous section.

Next we may execute an assignment:

```
customerA := customerB
```

The effect of this is that also customerA refers to the object representing Liza Jones profile.

See also section.

Comparison

We may also compare references using = (equality) and <> (inequality).

Efter execution of figure 3.4.1, we have:

Og her bruges kommentarer næste gang

```
JohnSmithProfile = LizaSmithProfile -- false, they refer to different objects
JohnSmithProfile <- LizaSmithProfile -- true
JohnSmithProfile = customerA -- true, they refer to the same object
JohnSmithProfile <> customerB -- false, they refer to different objects
```

After the assignment customerA := customerB, we have:

```
JohnSmithProfile = customerA -- false
customerA = customerB -- true
LizaJonesProfile = customerA -- true
```

Assignment between data items being references is called reference assignment and comparison of references is called reference comparison.

Reference assignment and reference comparison is fundamentally different from assignment between data items representing values.

The withdraw method has a statement:

```
newB := balance
```

Here the value hold by balance is copied to newB, which then holds the same value as balance. The data items newB and balance are not references to some objects. As we shall se in section X, they are a special kind of objects called value objects that may represent values – in section, we describe value assignment and value comparison.

Type rule

As shown above, we may assign a reference to a Customer object to a reference variable that has the type Customer. It is not possible to assign a reference to an Account object to the reference variable aCustomer.

In general the type of an expression in an assignment must be the same as the type of the reference variable being assigned to. This is also the case for passing an expression as an argument to a parameter of a method being a reference.

The above rule does also apply to comparisons using = (equality) and <> (inequality) where both arguments must be of the same type

Consider the following example:

The assignment anAccountB := Account (anAccountA) is illegal since the owner parameter of Account is of type Customer whereas the argument anAccountA is of type Account.

The purpose of the type rule is two fold: from a programming and modeling point of view it does not make sense to allow assignments like aCustomerA := anAccountA.

Secondly the type rule is necessary to prevent errors at run-time. Assume that we allow the assignment then we may write code as

aCustomerA := anAccountA
aCustomerA.addAccount(JohnSmithProfile)

This does not makes sense since a Customer object does not have an addAccount method.

In chapter, we extend the type rule for assignment, parameter transfer and comparison.

Parameter passing

Passing a parameter as part of a method invocation or class invocation is similar to assignment in the sense that the actual parameter is assigned to the formal parameter of the method or class respectively. This also means that the type rules for parameter passing is the same as the type rule for assignment.